

Testing Sustainable Flooring

A Johns Hopkins Health System Report

Teri Lura Bennett, RN, CID, CHID, IIDA, EDAC, NIHD Lead Interior Designer Johns Hopkins Health System Facilities Planning Office, Architecture + Planning

Shared with permission from the Johns Hopkins Health System

The Facility Guidelines Institute (FGI) is pleased to share this valuable resource with the health care design and construction community through our Beyond Fundamentals program.

FACILITY GUIDELINES INSTITUTE BEYOND FUNDAMENTALS

info@fgiguidelines.org | www.fgiguidelines.org

JHHS Facilities: Flooring Test Report

Summary

This report is a result of a research study conducted at the Johns Hopkins Health System (JHHS) in Baltimore to identify solutions to challenging flooring questions. Recognizing these challenges are ongoing, this report provides a snapshot of the conditions and findings encountered during the 2014 to 2015 study period.

The goal of the JHHS Facilities Architecture + Planning Office in conducting this study was to improve the performance, safety, and cost-efficiency of flooring materials and flooring care while supporting the health and safety of patients and staff and safeguarding capital investment. In 2014 the project team launched a system-wide multidisciplinary floor testing study. The 2014 edition of the FGI *Guidelines for Design and Construction of Hospitals and Outpatient Facilities* was used as one of the resources to structure the test protocol and process.

About the Facility Guidelines Institute

The Facility Guidelines Institute is a not-for-profit corporation founded in 1998 to provide leadership and continuity to the *Guidelines for Design and Construction* revision process. FGI functions as the coordinating entity for development of the *Guidelines* series of documents using a multidisciplinary, consensus-based process and for provision of ancillary services that encourage and improve their application and use. FGI invests revenue derived from sales of the *Guidelines* to fund the activities of the next revision cycle as well as research that can inform the *Guidelines* development process.

FGI Disclaimers for Beyond Fundamentals

This document is provided for informational purposes only and is not and should not be construed as legal advice. The views and opinions expressed in this document are the opinions of the author and not the official position of FGI or the Health Guidelines Revision Committee.

This document has been shared with FGI as a service to the health care design and construction community. The information provided may not apply to a reader's specific situation and is not a substitute for application of the reader's own independent judgment or the advice of a competent professional. Neither FGI nor the author make any guaranty or warranty as to the accuracy or completeness of any information contained in this document. FGI and the author disclaim liability for personal injury, property damage, or other damages of any kind, whether special, indirect, consequential, or compensatory, that may result directly or indirectly from use of or reliance on this document.

Information and recommendations in Beyond Fundamental publications and tools are not intended to be used as minimum requirements, nor are they intended to be adopted as code and enforced by an authority having jurisdiction. Rather, these publications are intended to provide supplemental information for individuals or organizations that choose to exceed the minimum (or, fundamental) design requirements in the FGI *Guidelines* documents to meet client and/or community needs.

FACILITY GUIDELINES INSTITUTE BEYOND FUNDAMENTALS

info@fgiguidelines.org | www.fgiguidelines.org



JHHS FACILITIES: FLOORING TEST REPORT

Johns Hopkins Health System Facilities Planning Office Architecture + Planning

Project Name: New Flooring Test Test Dates: November 2014 through February 2015 Report Date: April 2016

Report prepared by: Teri Lura Bennett RN CID CHID IIDA EDAC NIHD Lead Interior Designer JHHS A+P

New Flooring Report - White Paper

Appendices

- I. Flooring Test Protocol
- 2. Floor Plan
- 3. Product Tracking Log
- 4. Observation Data: Acoustic, Clean, & Slip-Resistance
- 5. Project Collaborative Team
- 6. Cleaning Product Data
- 7. EIPP: Use of Carpet in Patient Care Areas

JHHS PPT

Bibliography

Is it possible to specify healthcare flooring that is; easy to clean, sustainable, durable, slip-resistant, quiet, cushioned underfoot, and does not require wax?

The Johns Hopkins Hospital (JHH), established in 1889, is a world-renowned teaching hospital and an international leader in patient care and medical research. It would be an understatement to describe the Johns Hopkins Hospital System (JHHS) facilities as merely large. Comprised of six academic and community hospitals, 4 suburban healthcare and surgery centers, and more than 30 primary healthcare outpatient sites, the amount of flooring, well over 98 million square feet, presents a constant challenge to maximize the positive effect of the built environment on patient outcomes (Taylor, 2015), ensure the health and safety of the staff, and safe-guard our capital investment. (The Center for Health Design, 2015)

In 2014 the Facilities Architecture + Planning Office of Johns Hopkins Health System (JHHS) were confronted with an array of complex flooring questions.

How can we in good conscience specify green & sustainable, but untested flooring products?

Consultants were specifying new green/sustainable flooring products, which incorporated recycled and alternative materials, but which were untested in active healthcare environments. We needed to determine whether it was possible to care for and maintain green/sustainable flooring.

Can we continue to maintain carpeted floors at JHH?

Carpeted floors throughout the JHHS campuses were perpetually soiled, structurally failing, puddling, and unraveling. (Pronovost, 2015) Care of carpeted flooring was an unsurmountable challenge, consuming disproportionally too much time to clean and maintain, and had become an unsustainable care and maintenance paradigm, ultimately affecting the hospital's ability to deliver quality patient care and adversely affecting our HCAHPS scores. (Rohde, 2015)

Can we adopt a No-Wax flooring maintenance paradigm?

Are there new flooring care products which do not require high gloss organic waxed finishes? JHHS is committed to the elimination of flooring requiring highgloss waxed floor (Rohde, Healthcare Design for the Future, 2015) cleaning methods, an unsustainable floor maintenance paradigm causing glare, increasing room down time and delaying patient care, creating scheduling challenges, and possibly contaminating adjacent surfaces with airborne wax particles with the potential of contributing to healthcare-acquired infections (HAI's). (Kamerow, 2013) (Nancy F. Lenfestey, 2013) Further study is required to determine direct causality between the occurrence of HAI's and the potential for wax to support microbial growth.

How to assure that new flooring selections are compatible with sustainable, low-VOC, neutral pH, hydrogen-peroxide-based, multi-purpose floor cleaning/sealing products?

The JHH Environmental Care (EVC) department is actively pursuing green, low-VOC, matte shine, multi-purpose flooring care products to clean and maintain a broad range of flooring materials. (Forbo Flooring Systems, 2013)They identified a new floor maintenance regimen (Appendix Item #6) met all of our criteria, yet was untested at Johns Hopkins, an active healthcare environment.

How can Johns Hopkins maximize the value of our capital investment in flooring?

Can the decision to select flooring materials be made using the principles of evidence-based design? (The Center for Health Design, 2015) Applying the principles of evidence based design, JHHS A+P conducted literature research, applying scientific method to the problem, assembled a collaborative team, established a test protocol to conduct a flooring test to address our various care issues and build a database of flooring selection criteria to develop new flooring standards for selection of flooring products throughout JHHS. Our aim was to maximize patient & staff satisfaction while minimizing adverse future events related to the care and maintenance of flooring.

Our literature research effort began with the acknowledged professional resource of the Guidelines for Design and Construction of Hospitals and Outpatient Facilities, 2014 edition. (Facility Guidelines Institute, 2014) which was instrumental in developing our Flooring Test Protocol, our flooring product selection criteria, and assembling our collaborative team. The JHHS collaborative team included representatives from Facilities Architecture & Planning, Director/Supervisors in Environmental Care and Environmental Safety, Nursing, Infection Control, Armstrong Institute for Patient Safety, and the manufacturer representatives for each of the flooring products selected for inclusion in the flooring test. (Appendix Item #5)

Under the direction of JHHS Facilities Architecture + Planning, the project team, determined that we would conduct a system-wide multi-disciplinary research flooring testing study over a 90 day period from November 22, 2014, to February 21, 2015. The primary test site location chosen was the corridor connecting the JHH Main Campus and the Johns Hopkins Outpatient Center (JHOPC), including the Metro subway entrance to the JHH East Baltimore Campus. We estimate that these areas receive more than 20,000 footfalls a day.

The flooring materials were installed cross corridor along the path of travel; each strip measured 6 feet by 18 feet, with an intentional seam across the middle of each strip perpendicular to the path of travel. Once installed the flooring test site was intentionally sealed using Oxi-Seal on one half of the test strip, leaving one half unsealed. While normally flooring would not be left unsealed, this scenario enabled us to test worst-case unsealed flooring situations.

Flooring material selection for this location involved 15 different flooring materials: 8 resilient surfaces and 7 acoustic, non-carpet surfaces; more specifically: textile composite, rubber, rubber composite, vinyl rubber composite, linoleum, vinyl sheet goods with recycled rubber backing, heterogeneous and homogenous resilient flooring. These 15 materials were donated by the respective manufacturers. Simultaneously, 5 additional flooring products were evaluated in other locations, bringing the total number of different flooring products tested to 20: 11 resilient surfaces and 9 acoustic carpet alternatives. Ultimately all flooring material chosen to be evaluated had to meet these flooring challenges: to be high performance, incorporate green/sustainable materials, promote safety, not require waxed finishes, and be able to be cleaned/maintained using sustainable cleaning protocols.

The test evaluation process involved weekly observation, photographing the test materials against control samples, a controlled stain test, various slip-resistance tests on wet and dry surfaces, and acoustic measurement of dB levels on each test

strip, on both the resilient and acoustic flooring test products. Additionally, we performed a "Drag test" to check for impact damage and seam integrity. The drag test used a weighted lounge chair with a broken glide which exposed an attachment screw. This was dragged across all test flooring strips. None of the flooring products tested experienced permanent damage or seam failure.

At the conclusion of the test, of the 16 flooring products in the primary test site, 18 of the 20 products tested were considered to have "passed" and are currently being utilized in new projects rather than traditional VCT and carpet. The only failures were due to our inability to keep the flooring clean without extra time and attention from our environmental care personnel. There were no structural failures of any of our test products. This effort, while not without cost, has successfully met our objective to develop new flooring standards criteria for selection and maintenance of flooring which can now be confidently used throughout JHHS. Specifically, of the product types assessed in this test: vinyl enhanced tile has become our new standard resilient tile. Textile composite tile is our first choice when a carpet alternative is needed. The vinyl sheet goods with recycled rubber cushion backing is currently being installed in 56 inpatient rooms. (Ecore Commercial Flooring, 2015) The rubber, rubber composite, vinyl rubber composite, heterogeneous and homogenous resilient preformed well and have been implemented in various projects since the test period ended. Additionally, the collaborative team has remained an integral part of the ongoing evaluation and selection process, and we continue to rely on their help to review new products. We would encourage our healthcare provider peers to consider conducting their own tests in order to expand our best practices flooring knowledge base.

2016 Epilog: JHHS is committed to a No-Finish/No-Wax, and No-Carpet new flooring paradigm. This will require a culture shift for our campuses who have become accustomed to both carpet and shiny floors. When we have new projects for which carpet would previously have been considered we take this as a learning opportunity to share our test findings, encouraging use of no-finish, no carpet new flooring options, including creative design solutions to address acoustic issues. We can honestly say that this is becoming easier, as more of our clients appreciate that we are all here to care for our patients, not flooring, realizing that flooring with efficient care and maintenance requirements will help them to accomplish that goal. Recently several high profile projects, and most of our clients, have requested no-finish/wax, no-carpet flooring solutions,

APPENDIX ITEMS

- I. Flooring Test Protocol
- 2. Floor Plan
- 3. Product Tracking Log
- 4. Flooring Products Test Observation Data, (2015)
- 5. Project Collaborative Team
- 6. Cleaning Product Data
- 7. JHM EIPP; Use of carpet in Patient Care Areas. March 14, 2014.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Ecore Commercial Flooring. (2015). Flooring in New Trauma Center Aims to Support Patients and Staff. Retrieved from Ecore Commercial Case Studies:
 - http://cdn.ecoreintl.com/marketing/forestrx/casestudies/cs_penn_presby0715.pdf
- Facility Guidelines Institute. Guidelines for Design and Construction of Hospitals and Outpatient Facilities, 2014 ed. Chicago: American Society for Healthcare Engineering, 2014
- Kamerow, K. K. (2013). Understanding the Role of Facility Design in the Acquisition and Prevention of Healthcare-Associated Infections. *HERD Health Environments Research & Design Journal*, 13-17.
- Nancy F. Lenfestey, M. E. (2013). Expert Opinions on the Role of Facility Design in the Acquisition and Prevention of Healthcare-Associated Infections. *HERD Health Environments Research & Design Journal*, 31-45.
- Pronovost, D. P. (2015, October 20). The Armstrong Institute Voices for Safer Care. Retrieved from Armstrong Institute Blog:

http://armstronginstitute.blogs.hopkinsmedicine.org/2015/10/20/the-patient-wish-list/

- Rohde, J. (2015, July 9). *Healthcare Design for the Future*. Retrieved from Interiors & Sources: http://www.interiorsandsources.com/interior-design-news/interior-design-newsdetail/articleid/19144/title/healthcare-design-for-the-future.aspx
- Rohde, J. (2015, March 11). Interiors & Sources Design Connections. Retrieved from For the Love of Healthcare Design: Long-Term Care Meets Acute Care: http://www.interiorsandsources.com/interior-design-news/interior-design-newsdetail/articleid/18670/title/for-the-love-of-healthcare-design-long-term-care-meets-acutecare-/viewall/true.aspx
- Taylor, D. S. (2015, April 14). Schneider-Electric. Retrieved from Life is On Blog: http://blog.schneider-electric.com/healthcare/2015/04/14/hospital-breeding-ground-healthcare-acquired-infections/
- The Center for Health Design. (2015). An Introduction to Evidence-Based Design, Exploring Healthcare and Design. Concord: The Center for Health Design.
- The Center for Health Design. (2015, October). Safety Risk Assessment (SRA). Retrieved from The Center for Healthcare Design: https://www.healthdesign.org/insightssolutions/safety-risk-assessment-toolkit-pdf-version.

OBSERVATION/ISSUE	INVESTIGATION	TEST PROTOCOL
Carpeted Flooring is failing;	Frequent machine scrubber cleaning	Non-Carpet alternatives which
puddling, seams opening, de-	required to maintain appearance,	can be maintained using standard
raveling, with permanent stains.	causing product to fail.	EVC cleaning protocol.
Proposed use of new to market	New flooring product has not been	Test product with standard JHH
flooring product.	tested in healthcare setting.	EVC cleaning protocol.
JHH EVC new cleaning protocols	Determine sustainable cleaning	Test new cleaning procedure on
to standardize flooring	procedure which can be	multiple flooring product types.
maintenance procedures.	standardized for JHHS.	
Non-Carpet policy for future	Investigate Non-Carpet options	Install and test multiple flooring
projects.	which are cleanable, maintainable,	product types for potential use
	and contribute to acoustics.	at JHHS.
Local building code requires	Flooring manufacturers have	New Flooring products installed
Green – Sustainable material	responded with innovative new	in heavy use location to assess
specifications.	products which have not been	JHHS ability to clean and
	tested in healthcare environments.	maintain.
Baltimore building code requires	JHH-EVC has identified Low-VOC	Flooring installed in heavy use
Green – Sustainable Low VOC	sustainable cleaning products and	location to assess JHHS ability to
sustainable cleaning products and	methodologies previously not used	clean and maintain using Low
methodologies.	at JHH.	VOC sustainable cleaning
		protocol.
Infection Control and Aging	VCT requires High Gloss (Wax)	Flooring Test products to be
population issues require	finish. Investigate matte-finish, Non-	cleaned and sealed with Low-
elimination of high gloss surfaces.	VCT options, maintainable with	VOC sustainable cleaning
	Low-VOC sustainable cleaning	products. Assess JHHS ability to
	products.	clean and maintain.
High Gloss Waxed finishes are	Explore No-Wax Flooring Options	New Flooring products which
organic products which support	which can be maintained with Low-	do not require wax finish to be
microbial growth. Wax particles	VOC sustainable cleaning products.	cleaned with Low-VOC
become airborne. With normal		sustainable cleaning products.
use potentially contaminating		Assess JHH ability to maintain.
adjacent areas.		
High Gloss Waxed surfaces	VCT requires High Gloss (Wax)	New Flooring products which
require periodic maintenance,	finish. Explore Non VCT options	do not require wax finish to be
stripping and reapplying wax finish.	which can be maintained by less	cleaned with Low-VOC
Locations cannot be used during	time consuming methods.	sustainable cleaning products.
this maintenance down time		Assess JHH ability to maintain.
resulting in admission delays, client		
dissatisfaction and failing HCAHPS		
scores.		

SCIENTIFIC METHOD APPLIED TO THIS EFFORT:

A. ASK QUESTION: Determine which new flooring product type(s) will best support the mission of Johns Hopkins Hospital (JHH) to provide facilities and amenities that promote the highest quality care, afford solace, and enhance the community. (Ref: JHH Mission Statement, URL http://www.hopkinsmedicine.org/the johns hopkins hospital/about/mission.html)

B. BACKGROUND RESEARCH: Review of new flooring products to be considered for a test to determine which complies with the following criteria for use at Johns Hopkins Health System (JHHS) healthcare facilities environments. Ref. Facility Guidelines Institute (FGI) 2014 ed. Section A2.1-7.2.3.

- 1. Safe to use in occupied patient care clinical staff locations by minimizing or preventing the incidence and effect of noise, odor, gas, particulates, dust and debris during installation, maintenance, cleaning, repair, and demolition.
- 2. Support clinical needs and well-being of patients, staff and visitors by providing a glare free clean finish. Finishes shall be non-wax, maintainable with a matte low gloss finish. Wax flooring finishes encourage microbial growth; prolong cleaning times directly affecting patient room availability and access to quality care, and also cause glare which compromises vision and balance.
- 3. Address Safety Risk assessment issues related to cleaning and maintaining flooring finishes, and subsequent reduction of surface contamination linked to healthcare associated infections (HAI).
 - a. Surfaces should have clear written cleaning protocols which comply with CDC and JHHS cleaning standards.
 - b. Flooring should be easy to clean, with no surface crevices, rough textures, joints or seams, non-absorptive, non-porous, and smooth.
 - c. Manufacturer recommended cleaning and disinfection methodologies should be easy to use and effective for meeting CDC and JHHS bacterial elimination requirements. Recommended methodologies should not require high-gloss wax finishes or prolonged "wet" times as they contribute to risk of patient falls and associated injuries. (FGI 1.2-3 and 2.1-7.3.1)
- 4. Acoustic properties that support clinical function, patient safety and well-being and contribute to HIPPA compliance. Flooring should provide acoustic dampening, comfort underfoot to reduce staff stress and fatigue, as well as sound mitigation to reduce ambient noise levels and potential for medication errors. Should comply with Medications Safety Zone guidelines as noted in FGI 2.1-2.6.6.
- 5. Made from non-toxic, non-allergenic materials to reduce potential product based allergens.
- 6. Inflammable: Finish Materials should meet NFPA 101 for fire and smoke toxicity requirements.
- 7. Durable: Finish surface resistant to breakage, puncture/tears, stains, damage and wear from abrasion.

- 8. Impact Resistant: Flooring products should remain intact, safe and functional in heavy weight bearing, high traffic, and impact susceptible areas.
 - a. Meets pounds per square inch (PSI) weight tolerances for loads.
 - b. Meets tensile strength flexibility, impact, and abrasion testing standards for required use.

c. Self-repairs from compression caused by repeated use and does not shatter or fragment under abrasion or impact.

d. reduce user fatigue and musculoskeletal injury by meeting industry criteria for flexibility to address foot compression, heel strike absorption, while allowing ease of movement of wheeled equipment.

e. Compatible substrate and materials in surface and furnishings assemblies for use in 24/7 commercial healthcare environment warranted for a period of not less than 10 years' service life.

f. Surface and assembly seams and joints should be smooth, fully sealed and remain intact.

g. Water resistant materials, to be seam sealed and moisture impervious for use in areas where water/moisture is present to prevent seepage under assembly with consequence of delamination, and growth of mold, mildew and bacteria.

9. Improvement in facility investment by reduction of life cycle cost to increase funds available for patient care by providing flooring finishes that support JHHS commitment to quality care, strategic goals and service brand.

C. CONSTRUCT HYPOTHESIS: JHHS Facilities will provide and maintain new flooring product types which;

- 1. Support the mission of JHHS by providing facilities and amenities that promote the highest quality care, afford solace, and enhance the community.
- 2. Comply with industry standards for patient safety.
- 3. Improve patient outcomes by reducing environmental factors that contribute to illness.
- 4. Meets required standards of safety and durability for planned life cycle.
- 5. Easily maintained by EVC staff in occupied healthcare facility using CDC cleaning standards and JHHS SOP.
- 6. Supported by manufacturers' representatives to assist with maintenance, replacement, and repairs and refurbishing.

D. EXPERIMENT; Provide for testing diverse new flooring product types, including; resilient, homogeneous, heterogonous, textile composite, rubber, rubber composite and vinyl rubber composite. Selected flooring products will be installed in a high traffic location for a test period of 90 days.

1. All will be cleaned using standard JHHS protocol for low sheen non-wax floor cleaning. Half of each product will have sealer applied, half will not.

- 2. Data collection at regular intervals throughout the testing period will include direct observation, measurements, visual inspection, and interviews.
- 3. Documentation will utilize standard checklist protocol to provide data for all tested product types, with parameters clearly identified. Ref. FGI for standard testing protocol.
- 4. Evaluation test Methodologies to be documented and referenced.

E. ANALYZE RESULTS/DRAW CONCLUSIONS: Summary of evidence based findings of all product types will inform JHHS Facilities selection of flooring finish materials to use throughout JHHS campuses.

F. REPORT RESULTS. Peer review of the evidence based findings for these product types, may be shared within the healthcare design community, at the discretion of JHHS Facilities Architecture + Planning, in order to encourage an open collegial dialogue for the purpose of supporting quality facilities environments that contribute to best practice standards of patient care.

		TE	GROUP 2 ST STRIPS #9-15	AMPUS		GROUP I TEST STRIPS #I-8	
			L BUILDING EXPANSION JOINT EX.SIGNAGE PYLON TO BE	o Jihh EB C	TYPICAL ALL SHEET GOODS SEAM SEALED PER MANU LOCATED PERPENDI	: INSTALLATION TO INCLUDE JFACTURER INSTRUCTIONS, ICULAR TO PATH OF TRAVEL	
		2.15 2.14 2	RILY REMOVED BY OWNER 2.12 2.13 2.11 2.10 2.5	UNSEALED SEALED C.I-I	UNSEALED SEALED 1.8	1.7 1.6 1.5 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.1	
				MRI-05			
						PLAN	
				FLOORIN	G FINISHES MAT	FERIALS	
GROUF	STRIP	MATERIAL	MANUFACTURER	PRODUCT	COLOR	MFR. CONTACT PERSON	
1	1	HOMOGENEOUS SHEET 1	TARKETT	IQ NATURAL	281 RYE SEED	C.COLUMBO 443-829-4077 (FISHMAN)	
1	2	HETEROGENEOUS SHEET 1	MANNINGTON	PARADIGM INTERSECT FOUNDATION	PAR 103	M.HUXTA 484-571-4051 (MANNINGTON)	
1	3	PVC FREE RESILIENT SHEET	MANNINGTON	ENLIGHTEN	RV006 TAUPESTONE	M.HUXTA 484-571-4051 (MANNINGTON)	RI
1	4	HETEROGENEOUS SHEET 2	(UNKNOWN)	PURELINE	TITANIUM	L.BOCEK 703-585-2158 (MATS INC)	
1	5	LINOLEUM 1	FORBO MARMOLEUM	REAL	3249 MARLY GROUNDS	G.COIA 202-664-3854 (FORBO)	
1	6	LINOLEUM 2	ARMSTRONG	MARMORETTE	LP556 ATMOSPHERE	P.HARRIS 443-750-1862 (ARMSTRONG)	
1	7	PVC FREE RESILIENT SHEET 2	UPO FLOOR	CS COLLECTION	5511	J.BLODGETT 443-262-5132 (SPARTAN)	2
1	8	VINYL ENHANCED TILE	JOHNSONITE TARKETT	AZROCK AZTERRA	AT104 GRAY ROCK	C.COLUMBO 443-829-4077 (FISHMAN)	וד
2	9	TEXTILE COMPOSITE 2	KINETEX	VELOCITY	1604 DIRECTION	C.MASON 443-615-1064 (J&J)	2
2	10	TEXTILE COMPOSITE 1	FORBO	FLOTEX STRATUS	540001 SULPHUR	G.COIA 202-664-3854 (FORBO)	
2	11	RUBBER & CORK 3	ZANDUR	SUSTAIN CORK & RUBBER	COOL GRAY CR3092	S.GOLDMAN 410-279-0247 (DIVISION 9)	6
2	13	RECYCLED RUBBER 4	ECOSURFACES	ECOROCKS	903 BIG BOULDER	J.BLODGETT 443-262-5132 (SPARTAN)	4 FT R
			1	RE-TIRE BASICS		B.BELL 301-529-9890 (FINISHES SALES	38 IN
2	12	RECYCLED RUBBER 2	CAPRI CORK	COLLECTION	RAIN R14101	GROUP)	INTEN
2	12 14	RECYCLED RUBBER 2 RECYCLED RUBBER 1	CAPRI CORK ATMOSPHERE	COLLECTION	TM957 BERKLEY CUSTOM CODE 200667	GROUP) B.BELL 301-529-9890 (FINISHES SALES GROUP)	INTEN 100% F



JHHS - NEW FLOO	RING TEST - PRO	DUCT TRACKING	G LOG - PHASE	1: 11/2014 - 2/201	5. PHASE 2: 3/20	15 TO PRESENT	:		FAIL	PHASE 2	FAIL	FAIL		FAIL			FAIL		PHASE 2	PHASE 2
TEST ID-TAG & DATA	1.1 RESILIENT	1.2 RESILIENT	1.3 RESILIENT	1.4 RESILIENT	1.5 RESILIENT	1.6 RESILIENT	1.7 RESILIENT	1.8 RESILIENT	1.9 RESILIENT	1.10 RESILIENT	1.11 RESILIENT	2.9 ACOUSTIC NON-CARPET	2.10 ACOUSTIC NON-CARPET	2.11 ACOUSTIC NON-CARPET	2.12 ACOUSTIC NON-CARPET	2.13 ACOUSTIC NON-CARPET	2.14 ACOUSTIC NON-CARPET	2.15 ACOUSTIC NON- CARPET	2.16 ACOUSTIC NON-CARPET	2.17 ACOUSTIC NON-CARPET
PRODUCT TYPE	PVC Free Homogeneous Resilient 1	Heterogeneous Resilient 1	PVC Free Homogeneous Resilient 2	Heterogeneous Resilient 2	Linoleum 1	Linoleum 2	PVC Free Homogeneous Resilient 3	Vinyl Enhanced Tile 1	Resilient Tile 1	Resilient Tile 2	Resilient Tile 1	Textile Composite 2	Textile Composite 1	Rubber & Cork	1 Recycled Rubber 2	Recycled Rubber 4	Recycled Rubber 1	Recycled Rubber- Backed Sheet Vinyl 1	Rubber & Cork :	2 Recycled Rubber 3
LOCATIONS TESTED	EB; OC 1 - TEST. TBD - CA 1?	EB; OC 1 - TEST, NH 2 MFM. TBD - OC 3 & 4?	EB; OC 1 TEST	. EB; OC 1 TEST.	EB; OC 1 TEST.	EB; OC 1 TEST	. EB; OC 1 TEST	. EB; OC 1 - TEST, HO B- 160-190.	NH 3-8	CM 131	OSLER 322	EB; OC 1 TEST	. TEST - OC 1. OC 3011, 3023, 3038A. PH B RAD READING RMS.	EB; OC 1 TEST	. EB; OC 1 TEST TBD; BV FK 1 ADMIN?	. EB; OC 1 TEST.	OC 1, OC 8.	EB; OC 1 TEST. TBD-CM 7?	PH B-1 Ramp	Phipps 2 Ramp.
TYP. JHHS LOCATIONS	CLINICAL	CLINICAL	CLINICAL	CLINICAL	CLINICAL	CLINICAL	CLINICAL	CLINICAL	CLINICAL	OFFICES, ADMIN.	CLINICAL	OFFICES, STAFF WORK, ADMIN.	OFFICES, STAFF WORK, ADMIN.	TEST OTHER MFR. RUBBER- CORK PRODUCTS.	OFFICES, STAFF WORK, ADMIN.	OFFICES, STAFF WORK, ADMIN.	OFFICES, STAFF WORK, ADMIN.	OFFICES, STAFF WORK, ADMIN.	RAMPS	RAMPS
PRIMARY ATTRIBUTES	Vinyl smooth seam sealable	No sealer or wax required	No wax. Slip resistant, cushioned, green	Green/ non- vinyl/ smooth seam sealable,	100% bio. Through body, Refinishable. Camo welds available.	NATURCote™ UV-cured coating is non- strippable and dirt resistant.	No sealer No wax. Slip resistant, cushioned, green - good acoustics	TZT look with vinyl wearability no wax, more slip resistant than TZT	BIO-BASED TILE	LVT ALTERNATIVE	BIO-BASED TILE	Durable, Easy to sanitize, superior acoustic properties, slip resistant, anti- fatigue.	Vacuum. acoustic slip resistance. Anti microbial. Water Impervious backing.	Slip resistant, cushioned, green - good acoustics	Slip resistant, cushioned, green - good acoustics	Slip resistant, cushioned, green - good acoustics	Slip resistant, cushioned, green	Carpet alternative - - good acoustics	Slip resistant, cushioned, green - good acoustics	Slip resistant, cushioned, green - good acoustics
MANUFACTURER (Mfr./Distributor)	Tarkett*	Mannington*	Mannington*	Wineo (Germany/Geor gia US)	Forbo	Armstrong	UPO Floor	Johnsonite Tarkett*	Armstrong	Patcraft	Armstrong	J&J*	Forbo	Zandur	Capri Cork	Ecore Commercial Flooring	To Market*	ECORE Commercial Flooring	ROPPE	ECORE Commercial Flooring
PRODUCT STYLE & COLOR	IQ Natural, Color: 281 Rye Seed	Paradigm Intersect, Color: Foundation PAR103	Enlighten Color: RV006 taupestone (2015-12-14 D/C'd- manufacturing issues)	Pureline, Color: Titanium.	Marmoleum Real, Color: 3249 Marly Grounds	Marmorette, Color: LP556 Atmosphere.	CS Collection, Color: 5511. (7/2015 CS Collection is renamed "ZERO")	Azrock - Azterra Color: AT104 Gray Rock	, Migrations BBT w/Biostride. Color: T3504 ICE WHITE	 Typography collection; 1311V LETTERPRESS, Color; 00730 TAB 	Striations BBT w/Biostride. Color: T3610 HAZE	Kinetex Velocity Color: 1604 Direction	, Flotex - Stratus. Color: 540001 Sulphur	Sustain Cork & Rubber Color: Cool Gray CR3092	Re-Tire Basics Collection. y Color: Rain RT4101.	ECO- SURFACES; ECO-ROCKS, Color: 903 Big Boulder	Atmosphere / Quantum / TM957 Berkley (Custom Color)	ECO-SURFACES, TERRAIN Rx. Color: 6420 WEATHERED TIN	Safe-T-Cork, P182 Toffee OF 124 TAUPE TLE TO CONFIRM.	ECO- 3 SURFACES, 3 ECO-EARTH 719 CATE MOSS
PRODUCT COMPOSITION	Homogeneous vinyl,	Vinyl flooring	rubber and polyolefin (vinyl 5% post consumer content/ 100% recyclable)	bio polyurethane, glass fiber, paper print layer, polyurethane top coat. Fleece Tech backing.	Linseed Oil, Rosin binders, Wood Flour, Limestone, and dry pigments. Natural Jute backing.	Linseed Oil, Rosin binders, Wood Flour, Limestone, and dry pigments. Natural Jute backing.	PVC -Free Polyolefin	74% Natural Limestone/ Static Load Limit 400 psi. Manufactured in facility meeting ISO 14001 and ISO 9001 standards	t			Solution Dyed Nylon Polyester Face with Polyester Felt Cushion back	59% Recycled Vinyl cushioned backing - Nylon type 6.6 wear layer	65% recycled product	EPDM and recycled rubber	Recycled rubber sheet flooring	100% Post- Consumer Recycled Tire Rubber SBR	high quality cross- linked polyurethane reinforcment (PUR) face fused to a recycled rubber cushion back	RUBBER CORH EMBOSSED	K Recycled rubber sheet flooring
PRODUCT DIMENSIONS, Available as? SHEET, ROLL, TILE, etc.	ROLL: 6'-6" x 75'-9" x .08" thick wear layer. TILE: 24" x 24" x.08" thick. Tile is not available at this time, will be available - check back in 6 months, approx. October 2015)	ROLL: 6', 9' 12' wide x .08" thick 20 mil wear layer. TILE: N/A.	ROLL: 6'-6" , wide x 63 yards x .08" thick (20 mil wear layer) TILE: N/A.	ROLL: 6'-7" x 65'-7" x 2.5 mm thick. TILE: plank: 59"	ROLL: 79" w x 105' x 2.5mm = 77SY. TILE: 20" x 20", 10" x 20", 10" x 10".	ROLL: 6'-7" x 98.4 ' x 0.100" (2.5 mm) thick. TILE: N/A.	ROLL: 4'-9" x 2.0 mm thick. TILE: N/A.	TILE: 13" square (CUSTOM OPTION FOR 16" SQ. TILE) ROLL: N/A.	TILE: 12" square. ROLL: N/A.	ROLL: N/A. TILE: 23+" square.	PLANK: 12" X 24" X 1/8". ROLL: N/A.	TILE: 24" x 24" x 1/8". ROLL: N/A	TILE: 19.7" x 19.7" x 3/8" thick. 12/carton = 32.3SF. ROLL: N/A	TILE: 24" X 24" X 1/8". PLANK: 12" X 24" X 1/8".	ROLL: 4' W x 3.2 mm. TILE: 24" x 24" x 3.2 mm.	ROLL: 4' roll goods x 3.2 mm thickness. TILE: 24" X 24".	ROLL: N/A. TILE: 38" x 38" straight edge, 37" x 37" interlocking, thickness varies	ROLL: 1/4" thick. TILE: N/A	ROLL & TILE	ROLL & TILE?
CARE & CLEANING	no wax buff or stripping no sealer or wax needed. Sweep, dust, mop.	no wax buff or stripping no sealer or wax needed. Sweep, dust, mop.	no wax buff or- stripping no- sealer or wax- needed. Sweep, dust, mop.	no wax buff or stripping no sealer or wax - needed. Sweep, dust, mop.	no wax buff or stripping no sealer or wax needed. Sweep, dust, mop. Pre- Finished at the factory.	no wax buff or stripping no sealer or wax needed. Sweep, dust, mop. Pre- Sealed at the factory.	no wax buff or stripping no sealer or wax needed. Sweep, dust, mop.	no wax buff or stripping no sealer or wax needed. Sweep, dust, mop.				Vacuum, Spot Clean, and water clean extraction.	Vacuum, Spot Clean, and water clean extraction.	no wax buff or stripping no sealer or wax needed. Sweep dust, mop.	no wax buff or stripping no sealer or wax needed. Sweep, dust, mop.	no wax buff or stripping no sealer or wax needed. Sweep, dust, mop.	no wax buff or stripping no sealer or wax needed. Sweep, dust, mop.	no wax buff or stripping no sealer or wax needed. Sweep, dust, mop.	?	
MATERIAL COST - GOODS	\$3.75 - \$4.00 per SF.	Medasset: 6 ft. goods \$25.70/see/roll of 63 SQ. yds. \$28.68/SY. for cut.	\$51.64 SY cut price	Roll goods \$4.20 SF/roll. Rods are \$82.15 each. Adhesive \$94.00. Cork Spreader \$30.60.	\$2.25 SF	email request sent 6-12-15	\$3.00 goods cost per SF. Budget installed \$6.00 per SF.	\$2.50 - \$3.00/sf.	\$2.75 - \$3.00 per SF.	\$2.47 SF	email request sent 6-12-15	N/A Failed test	\$3.68 SF	N/A Failed test	\$7.72 SF	\$5.47 SF	\$6.25 SF for 4mm goods	\$4.25 goods cost per SF. Budget installed \$8.50 per SF.	TBD	

APPENDIX ITEM # 3

JHHS - NEW FLOOP	RING TEST - PRO	DUCT TRACKIN	G LOG - PHASE	1: 11/2014 - 2/201	5. PHASE 2: 3/20	15 TO PRESENT			FAIL	PHASE 2	FAIL	FAIL		FAIL			FAIL		PHASE 2	PHASE 2
TEST ID-TAG & DATA	1.1 RESILIENT	1.2 RESILIENT	1.3 RESILIENT	1.4 RESILIENT	1.5 RESILIENT	1.6 RESILIENT	1.7 RESILIENT	1.8 RESILIENT	1.9 RESILIENT	1.10 RESILIENT	1.11 RESILIENT	2.9 ACOUSTIC NON-CARPET	2.10 ACOUSTIC NON-CARPET	2.11 ACOUSTIC NON-CARPET	2.12 ACOUSTIC NON-CARPET	2.13 ACOUSTIC NON-CARPET	2.14 ACOUSTIC NON-CARPET	2.15 ACOUSTIC NON- CARPET	2.16 ACOUSTIC NON-CARPET	2.17 ACOUSTIC NON-CARPET
PRODUCT TYPE	PVC Free Homogeneous Resilient 1	Heterogeneous Resilient 1	PVC Free Homogeneous Resilient 2	Heterogeneous Resilient 2	Linoleum 1	Linoleum 2	PVC Free Homogeneous Resilient 3	Vinyl Enhanced Tile 1	Resilient Tile 1	Resilient Tile 2	Resilient Tile 1	Textile Composite 2	Textile Composite 1	Rubber & Cork	1 Recycled Rubber 2	Recycled Rubber 4	Recycled Rubber 1	Recycled Rubber- Backed Sheet Vinyl 1	Rubber & Cork 2	2 Recycled Rubber 3
ACOUSTIC PROPERTIES	?	?	yes	MINIMAL	ISO 717-2 (impact sound reduction 6dB.)	50-53 approx. IIC rating on 6" thick concrete slab floor. 53-56 IIC rating	No	?				ASTM C 423-02 Noise reduction Coefficient NRC rating 0.30.	3 tests- 1) ASTM E 492 (IIC 59); 2) ISO 354 Sound absorption (Noise reductions coefficient =	yes ASTM E492 (IIC 71)	2 yes	Yes	Acoustic Rating Superior. 4mm = IIC 57	Yes	?	
MFR: SLIP RESISTANCE. JHHS-HSE: DRAG- SLED RESULTS.	MFR: ? JHHS-HSE: AVG 0.63	MFR: meets ADAG. JHHS-HSE: AVG. 0.79	MFR: meets ADAG. JHHS-HSE: AVG 0.66	MFR: meets ADAG. JHHS-HSE: AVG. 0.71	MFR: ASTM D 2047 meets or exceeds ADAG for flat surfaces. JHHS-HSE: AVG. 0.52	MFR: meets ADAG value of 0.6 for level surfaces JHHS-HSE: AVG. 0.54	MFR: ? JHHS- HSE: AVG. 0.49	MFR: ? JHHS-HSE:	MFR: ? JHHS-HSE:	MFR: ? JHHS-HSE:	MFR: ? JHHS-HSE:	MFR: ASTM D 2047 Complies with ADAG for level surface. JHHS-HSE:	MFR: ASTM D 2047 Meets/exceeds A.D.A. 0.6 flat surfaces, 0.8 for inclined. JHHS-HSE:	MFR: Exceeds UL and ADAG. ASTM D2047 = 0.76 JHHS HSE:	MFR: 083 dry / .98 wet JHHS-HSE: S-	MFR: >.9 JHHS-HSE:	MFR: Outstanding Wet or Dry. ASTM-D2047-93 Dry = 1.04 / Wet = 1.05 JHHS-HSE:	MFR: ADAG compliant. JHHS-HSE:	MFR: ? JHHS-HSE:	MFR: ? JHHS-HSE:
SUSTAINABILITY - GREEN POINTS	25% pre- consumer recycled. Phthalate free. REA CH compliant.	100% recyclable? Confirm w/Mannington	vinyl 5% post consumer content/ 100% recyclable	Greengard Gold certified made from 90% rapidl renewable materials	(confirm?) Greengard Gold y certified made from 90% rapidly renewable materials	?	Yes	23% pre- consumer recycled - 6% post-consumer recycled. Floorscore certified. Phthalate Free				?	?	Contributes to LEED MR 4.1, 4.2, 6.0, & IEQ 4.3 CHPS indoc air quality certified.	yes r	no wax buff or stripping no sealer or wax needed. Sweep, dust, mop.	?	?	?	
GEOGRAPHIC CONSIDERATIONS	Manufactured in Europe, Stocked/Distribu ted locally by L. Fishman.	Manufactured in Salem NJ USA	Manufactured ir Salem NJ USA	Manufactured in Germany. Stocked/Distribu ted locally by Mats Inc.	Manufactured in Europe, Stocked/Distribu ted from PA.	Manufactured in Europe, Stocked/Distribu ted by Armstrong in Lancaster PA.	Manufactured in Finland, Stocked/Distribu ted in USA by UPO.	?	?	?	?	USA	Manufactured in Europe, Stocked/Distribu ted from PA.	Pennsylvania	made in USA	Made in USA	Oklahoma City OK. (CONFIRM made in or distributed from)	made in USA	?	
WARRANTY	5 years	10 year wear & 10 year No Polish	10 year wear & 10 year No Polish	10 YEARS	5 years	5 year	15 years	11 years	11 years	11 years	11 years	Lifetime Product Performance & backing.	t 10 years	10 years	10 years	5 years	10 years	5 years	?	
REP./DEALER	Christine Colombo (L Fishman) 6301 E. Lombard St. Baltimore MD 21224 www.lfishman.co m ccolombo@lfish man.com, 443- 829-4077. Also Cynthia Hubbell (Tarkett International)	Brain Knutson (local rep)	Brain Knutson (local rep)	Luke Bosek Mats Inc. or Lori Hilty Ihilty@matsinc.co om (email)	Melanie McGeehan, 703 309-4283 (m) melanie.mcgeeh an@forbo.com	Phil Harris - Armstrong	Joe Blodgett (Spartan Surfaces) 131 Industry Lane Forest Hill MD 21050, www.spartansurf aces.com 443- 262-5132.	Christine Colombo (L Fishman) & Cynthia Hubbell (Tarkett)	Phil Harris Armstrong	Rodney Dennis, 202-744-7736, rodney.dennis@p atcraft.com	Phil Harris Armstrong	Chris Mason J&J Flooring.	Melanie McGeehan, 703 309-4283 (m) melanie.mcgeeh an@forbo.com	Scott Goldman, - Division 9 Assoc.	Joe Blodgett Spartan Surfaces.	Mark Huxta (ECORE national) Joe Blodgett Spartar Surfaces.	Bob Bell Finishes Sales Group	Joe Blodgett Spartan Surfaces.	Stanley Stephens	Joe Blodgett Spartan Surfaces.
JHHS-EB TEST; OXI-CLEAN & OXI SEALER	YES, ABLE TO CLEAN AND MAINTAIN.	YES, ABLE TO CLEAN AND MAINTAIN.	YES, ABLE TO CLEAN AND MAINTAIN.	YES, ABLE TO CLEAN AND MAINTAIN.	YES, ABLE TO CLEAN AND MAINTAIN.	YES, ABLE TO CLEAN AND MAINTAIN.	YES, ABLE TO CLEAN AND MAINTAIN.	YES, ABLE TO CLEAN AND MAINTAIN.	TBD	TBD	TBD	NO, UNABLE TO CLEAN OR MAINTAIN.	YES, ABLE TO CLEAN AND MAINTAIN.	NO, UNABLE TO CLEAN OR MAINTAIN.	YES, ABLE TO CLEAN AND MAINTAIN.	YES, ABLE TO CLEAN AND MAINTAIN.	NO, UNABLE TO CLEAN OR MAINTAIN.	YES, ABLE TO CLEAN AND MAINTAIN.	TBD	TBD
PASS/FAIL & ISSUES?	PASS, NO ISSUES. JHHS STD SF-16, & RT-12	PASS, NO ISSUES. JHHS STD SF-3.	PASS, NO ISSUES. JHHS SF-2. 2015-12- 14 D/C'd	PASS, NO ISSUES. JHHS STD SF-6.	PASS, NO ISSUES. JHHS STD SF-17.	PASS, NO ISSUES. JHHS STD SF-18.	PASS, NO ISSUES. JHHS STD. SF-19.	PASS, NO ISSUES. JHHS STD RT-9.	FAIL; unable to maintain with new cleaning protocol.	PASS, NO ISSUES. JHHS STD. RT-5. 6 & 44.	FAIL; unable to maintain with new cleaning protocol.	FAIL; SIGNIFICANT FACE WEAR.	PASS, NO ISSUES. JHHS STD TCT-1	FAIL, UNABLE TO CLEAN ANI MAINTAIN.	PASS, NO ISSUES. JHHS STD RBS-1 & RBT-1.	PASS, NO ISSUES. JHHS STD RBS-2 & RBT-2.	FAIL, UNABLE TO CLEAN AND MAINTAIN.	PASS, NO ISSUES. JHHS STD RBS-4.	cleanability & durability not confirmed	PASS, NO ISSUES. JHHS STD RBS-4.

JHHS - NEW	FLOORIN	G PRODUCT	TEST	Appendix 4:	SLIP-RESIST	ANCE Graph
OBSERVATION	- DATA CO	LLECTION: 11/2	2014 to 2/2015		TEST LO	CATION: EB OC 1
Date Tested	Test Strip # (unsealed)	OSHA Dry Test les than 0.40	OSHA Dry Test 0.41 to 0.49	OSHA Dry Test Min. 0.50 Dry & Wet (ADA 0.60)	OSHA Dry Test 0.41 to 0.59	OSHA Dry Test greater than 0.61
Group 1 Resilient						
11/24/2014	1.1	Manufacturer state	d AVG meets ADA.			0.69
1/6/2015	1.1					0.63
2/20/2015	1.1					0.63
11/24/2014	1.2	Manufacturer state	d AVG meets ADA.			0.86
1/6/2015	1.2					0.75
2/20/2015	1.2					0.79
11/24/2014	1.3	Manufacturer state	d AVG meets ADA.			0.67
1/6/2015	1.3					0.75
2/20/2015	1.3					0.66
11/24/2014	1.4	Manufacturer state	d AVG meets ADA.		0.59	
1/6/2015	1.4					0.74
2/20/2015	1.4					0.71
11/24/2014	1.5	Manufacturer state	d AVG meets ADA.			0.72
1/6/2015	1.5					0.82
2/20/2015	1.5				0.52	
11/24/2014	1.6	Manufacturer state	d AVG meets ADA.			0.76
1/6/2015	1.6					0.77
2/20/2015	1.6				0.54	
11/24/2014	1.7	Manufacturer state	d AVG meets ADA.			0.62
1/6/2015	1.7					0.72
2/20/2015	1.7		0.49			
11/24/2014	1.8	Manufacturer state	d AVG meets ADA.			0.78
1/6/2015	1.8				0.59	
2/20/2015	1.8		0.44			
Group 2 Acoustic	options (Non	-Carpet)				
11/24/2014	2.9	Manufacturer state	d AVG meets ADA.			0.73
1/6/2015	2.9					0.67
2/20/2015	2.9					0.02
11/24/2014	2.10	Manufacturer state	d AVG meets ADA.			0.69
1//2015	2.10					0.66
2/20/2013	2.10					0.01
11/24/2014	2.11	Manufacturer state	d AVG meets ADA.			0.66
1/6/2015	2.11					0.73
2/20/2015	2.11					0.00
11/24/2014	2.12	Manufacturer state	d AVG meets ADA.			0.61
1/6/2015	2.12					0.63
2/20/2015	2.12					0.02
11/24/2014	2.13	Manufacturer state	d AVG meets ADA.			0.69
1/6/2015	2.13					0.68
2/20/2015	2.13					0.59
11/24/2014	2.14	Manufacturer state	d AVG meets ADA.			0.74
1/6/2015	2.14					0.69
2/20/2015	2.14					0.68
11/24/2014	2.15	Manufacturer state	d AVG meets ADA.		0.55	0.71
1/6/2015	2.15				0.56	0.00
2/20/2015	2.15					0.62

JHHS - NEW	FLOOR	NG PRODUC ⁻	T TEST		Appendix 4: 0	CLEAN Graph
OBSERVATION	- DATA C	OLLECTION: 11	/2014 to 2/201	.5	TEST LC	CATION: EB OC 1
Date Evaluated	Test					
	Strip #	1 = Filthy	2 = Poor	3 = Acceptable	4 = Good	5 = Like New
Group 1 Resilient						
11/24/2014	1.1			3		
1/6/2015	1.1				4	
2/20/2015	1.1				4	
11/24/2014	1.2				4	
1/6/2015	1.2			3		
2/20/2015	1.2			3		
11/24/2014	1.3				4	
1/6/2015	1.3				4	
2/20/2015	1.3			3		
11/24/2014	1.4			3		
1/6/2015	1.4				4	
2/20/2015	1.4				4	
11/24/2014	1.5			3		
1/6/2015	1.5				4	
2/20/2015	1.5				4	
11/24/2014	1.6			3		
1/6/2015	1.6				4	
2/20/2015	1.6				4	
11/24/2014	1.7			3		
1/6/2015	1.7				4	
2/20/2015	1.7				4	
11/24/2014	1.8				4	
1/6/2015	1.8					5
2/20/2015	1.8				4	
Group 2 Acoustic	Options (N	on-Carpet)				
11/24/2014	2.9			3		
1/6/2015	2.9		2			
2/20/2015	2.9		2			
11/24/2014	2.10			3		
1//2015	2.10			3		
2/20/2015	2.10			3		
11/24/2014	2.11			3		
1/6/2015	2.11		2			
2/20/2015	2.11	1				
11/24/2014	2.12		2			
1/6/2015	2.12					5
2/20/2015	2.12					5
11/24/2014	2.13				4	
1/6/2015	2.13	1				
2/20/2015	2.13		2			
11/24/2014	2.14		2			
1/6/2015	2.14	1				
2/20/2015	2.14	1				
11/24/2014	2.15		2			
1/6/2015	2.15					5
2/20/2015	2.15				4	

JHHS - NEW	FLOORIN		TEST	Appendix 4: ACOUSTIC Grap						
OBSERVATION	- DATA CO	LLECTION: 11/2	2014 to 2/2015		TEST	LOCATION: EB OC 1				
Date Tested	Test Strip #	1 = PEAK greater than 87 dB (less preferable)	2 = PEAK 86 dB	3 = PEAK 85 dB	4 = 84 dB	5 = less than 84 dB (more preferable)				
Group 1 Resilient										
11/24/2014	1.1	88								
12/1/2014	1.1		86							
2/20/2015	1.1	87								
11/24/2014	1.2	88								
12/1/2014	1.2	89								
2/20/2015	1.2	87								
11/24/2014	13	89								
12/1/2014	13		86							
2/20/2015	1.3	87								
11/24/2014	1.4	87								
12/1/2014	1.4	87								
2/20/2015	1.4	87								
11/24/2014	1.5					83				
12/1/2014	1.5		86							
2/20/2015	1.5		86							
11/24/2014	1.6	91								
12/1/2014	1.6		86							
2/20/2015	1.6	87								
11/24/2014	17	88								
12/1/2014	1.7				84					
2/20/2015	1.7		86							
11/24/2014	1.8	91								
12/1/2014	1.8		86							
2/20/2015	1.8	87								
Group 2 Acoustic	options (Nor	n-Carpet)								
11/24/2014	2.9					83				
12/1/2014	2.9					84				
2/20/2015	2.9	87								
11/24/2014	2.10					78				
12/1/2014	2.10					79				
2/20/2015	2.10	87								
11/24/2014	2.11					83				
12/1/2014	2.11		86							
2/20/2015	2.11			85						
11/24/2014	2.12					82				
12/1/2014	2.12			85						
2/20/2015	2.12			85						
11/24/2014	2.13					81				
12/1/2014	2.13			85						
2/20/2015	2.13			85						
11/24/2014	2.14					83				
12/1/2014	2.14			85						
2/20/2015	2.14			85						
11/24/2014	2.15			85						
12/1/2014	2.15			85						
2/20/2015	2.15	87								



Facilities Planning Office 1795 Orleans Street Level 5, Trailer A Baltimore, MD 21287 (410) 955-9815 ph (410) 502-9599 fax

Appendix Item #5

Project: JHHS Facilities New Flooring Test - Collaborative Team

JHHS Facilities Administration Sally MacConnell – VP of Facilities

JHHS Facilities Architecture + Planning Michael lati RA – Senior Director Teri Lura Bennett CID CHID EDAC - Lead Interior Design Andrea Hyde CID CHID – Planner - Designer Vicki Hartwig - Signage & Wayfinding Valerie Robinson – Project Manager Ann Pirkey – Facilities Planning Office – Administrative Coordinator

JHH Facilities Engineering & Environmental Care Boubacar Maiga – Sr. Director (EVC) Keith Farrar – Environmental Care (EVC) Sean Nelson – Engineering Harold Stewart – JHH Facilities Environmental Care (EVC – OC building)

<u>JHH Health Safety & Environment (HSE)</u> Cliff Carter – JHH Health Safety & Environment (HSE)

<u>JHH - Nursing Administration</u> Carla Aquino RN

JHH Infection Control (HEIC) Bria Graham-Glover — Construction & Renovation Liaison

<u>JHH - Armstrong Institute for Patient Safety</u> Nana Khunlertkit – Researcher

<u>Manufacturer Representatives (Flooring Product Test ID#)</u> Bob Bell – To Market Finishes Sales group (2.14) Joe Blodgett – Spartan Flooring (1.7, 2.12, 2.13, 2.15) Luke Bosek – Mats Inc. (1.4) Gary Coia – Forbo (1.5, 2.9, 2.10) Scott Goldman – Division 9 (2.11) Phillip Harris – Armstrong (1.6) Cynthia Hubble – Tarkett with Christine Colombo – L Fishman (1.1, 1.8) Mark Huxta – Mannington (1.2, 1.3) Chris Mason – J&J (2.9)

<u>Flooring Installer</u> Chris Gallagher – Carpetworks (Installer)





INFECTION CONTROL SOLUTIONS

Clean with the Power of Stabilized Hydrogen Peroxide!

Superior Technology

- Patented, stabilized hydrogen peroxide based cleaning technology
- EPA Registered
- Sanitizer/Virucide*/ Hepatitis B**
- Neutral pH

In 1998, EnvirOx[®] stabilized and patented hydrogen peroxide based cleaning technology. This superior cleaning technology continues to out-perform competitors by delivering a safe, simple and effective cleaning solution for the most difficult of cleaning challenges.

Deeper Clean

- Cleans 95% of any facility with only two dilutions
- Cleans glass, carpet, grout and all other water safe surfaces
- Deep renovation cleaning
- No residue build up

When EnvirOx[®] stabilized hydrogen peroxide with renewable resource surfactants, we created a product that cleans *everything* without leaving residue. This means truly clean surfaces that won't encourage bacteria growth or resoiling.

Healthy Environment

- Biodegradable
- Low VOCs
- Non-asthmagenic
- Renewable resource ingredients
- HMIS 0,0,0 in use dilution

The EnvirOx[®] mission: "We will be the BEST at providing environmentally preferable products that effectively meet people's needs where they live, learn, work and play." EnvirOx[®] only develops and manufactures products that meet the strictest of human health and environmental standards.



Cleaning for Health

Health and wellness are at the forefront of health care, education and government initiatives. The health care industry has the unique challenge of preventing hospital-acquired infections in an environment where the introduction of germs is inevitable. To further complicate this challenge, traditional cleaning technologies have health care facilities trapped in a cycle that kills bacteria and viruses, and also leaves behind a bio-film of residue that promotes bacterial growth.

Clean and healthy environmental surfaces are the first line of defense in preventing the spread of bacteria and viruses throughout a health care facility. Cleaning for infection control is cleaning for infection prevention. EnvirOx* hydrogen peroxide technology makes cleaning to prevent infection a reality.

*When used as directed H Drange * Concentrate 117 and 118 are EPA registered to kill Herpes Simplex Virus Type 2**, Influenza A2/Japan, HBV (Hepatitis & Virus)** and HIV-1 (Human Immunodeficiency Virus). * Except in California.

Prevention is the future of intection cont



Clean and sanitize with the power of hydrogen peroxide every time you use H₂Orange₂[®] Concentrate 117 or Concentrate 118.

EnvirOx[®] patented, stabilized hydrogen peroxide based cleaning technology delivers superior results with a simple, effective system.



H₂Orange₂[®] Concentrate 117 or Concentrate 118



Same ground breaking technology, cleaning power, safety and EPA registration. Choose Fresh Orange or Light Clean Scent.

- Patented, stabilized hydrogen peroxide sanitizer, virucide*.
- •One product at two dilutions = 95% of your facility general cleaning needs.
- •Neutral pH in use dilution.
- •HMIS of 0,0,0, in use dilution.
- •Non-corrosive, won't irritate skin or eyes.
- •No facility damage, safe on all water-safe surfaces.
- Cleans glass, tile, grout, bathrooms, carpet, marble, stainless steel and more!

Follow-up on key facility touch-points with... Critical Care™

- Silver Ion Disinfectant Technology.
- •Kills MRSA, VRE and Norovirus.
- •24 hour residual[§] kill.
- •HMIS of 0,0,0.
- Mist on surface and walk away, no need to wipe.

*When used as directed H₂Orange[®] Concentrate 117 and 118 are EPA registered to kill *Herpes Simplex Virus Type 2***, *Influenza A2/Japan, HBV (Hepatitis B Virus)*** and *HIV-1 (Human Immunodeficiency Virus)*, ; **Except in California.

⁵ When used as directed provides protection from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus aureus* and *Salmonella choleraesuis* up to 24 hours after initial application.

The EnvirOx[®] Cleaning Solution is Prevention.

You *can* prevent bacteria and viruses from thriving. The right cleaning product and protocol limits bacterial growth and reproduction, reducing the transfer of infections and improving the overall health and wellness of your facility.

Safe • Simple • Sustainable

A cleaning system with unparalleled benefits.

- Safer chemicals lead to a healthier environment for staff and patients.
- Powerful cleaning results improve infection control and aesthetic appeal.
- With EnvirOx^{*}, a facility can expect streak-free glass, clean grout, spotless carpets and much more.
- Say good-bye to surface damage due to harsh chemicals.
- One chemical, two dilutions = 95% of all your general cleaning needs.
- Reduce inventory and SKUs in addition to simplifying the training of new staff.

With EnvirOx[®], you can rest assured that your facility's cleaning choices are sustainable for people and the environment.

9-855-86

а -11







Critical CareTM DISINFECTANT-FUNGICIDE-VIRUCIDE*



EPA Reg. No. 72977-3-69268

Critical Car

- 30 second* immediate disinfection
- 24-hour^s residual bacteria kill
- Odorless-no harsh disinfectant smell
- Disinfectant-Fungicide-Virucide
- Reduced toxicity- HMIS Health = 0
- Ready-to-use
- · No sticky or dulling residue
- Kills MRSA in 2 minutes

Recommended for use in combination with H₂Orange₂ Concentrate 117[™] for complete, low toxicity cleaning, sanitizing and disinfecting.



30-Second⁺ Kill/ 24-hr.[§] Residual Kill Fast, Easy, Effective

Fastest kill times, 24 hour[®] residual kill, lowest toxicity

Critical Care is formulated to use on critical disease transfer points. Use it in combination with $H_2Orange_2$ Concentrate 117^{TM} multi-purpose cleaner-deodorizer-sanitizer, and eliminate elevated toxins from your cleaning and disinfecting program.



Directions for Use

It is a violation of Federal Law to use this product in a manner inconsistent with its labeling.

CRITICAL CARE[™] is a colorless, odorless broad spectrum antimicrobial disinfectant and deodorizer. Proven to kill bacteria, fungus and viruses^{*}, CRITICAL CARE should be used on non-porous environmental hard surfaces in homes, offices, hospitals, nursing homes, medical clinics, dental clinics, pharmacies, laboratories, ambulances and patient transfer vehicles, funeral homes, hotels, restaurants, schools, daycare facilities, veterinary clinics, animal shelters, kennels, cages, stables, catteries, animal transport vehicles, bars, supermarkets, colleges, dorm rooms, churches, basements, garages, workshops, attics, gyms, spas, health clubs, laundromats, school buses, cars, RV's, mobile homes, trucks, trailers, shipping containers, rail cars, cruise ships, exercise facilities, beauty and barber shops, subways, trains, alrplanes, ships, buses and other public transportation vehicles, locker rooms, kitchens and restrooms.

CRITICAL CARE[™] has been formulated to disinfect hard, non-porous environmental surfaces (painted, glazed tile, plastic, metal, glass, glazed porcelain) and objects including walls, floors, counters, sinks, exterior toilet surfaces, faucet handles, handrails, patio furniture, equipment tables, lab benches, toys, toy boxes, booster chairs, potty seats, laundry hampers, grocery carts, cabinets, tubs, showers, doorknobs, light switch covers, telephones, appliances, stove tops, bed frames, wheelchairs, over-bed tables, examination tables, waste containers, tables, chairs, children's toys, diaper pails, diaper changing tables, bathroom and or kitchen counters, desks, play tables, computer keyboard, jungle gyms, playhouses, child car seat (hard surfaces only), strollers, cribs, playpens, activity centers, tanning beds.

Application Instructions

Pre-clean surfaces prior to using this product.

General Disinfectant: For general disinfection and control of the bacteria Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus aureus, Salmonella enterica, Listeria monocytogenes, Vancomycin resistant Enterococcus faecium (VRE), Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), Community Associated Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (CA-MRSA), Community Associated Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (CA-MRSA), Community Associated Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (CA-MRSA-PVL), Escherichia coli 0157:H7, Acinetobacter baumannii and Campylobacter jejuni the surface must be completely wet with **CRITICAL CARE™** for 2 minutes. The surface may then be wiped dry with a clean towel. When used as directed, **CRITICAL CARE™** provides residual protection from the Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus aureus, and Salmonella enterica up to 24 hours after Initial application. Do not touch treated surface after application if residual protection is to be maintained.

Fungus Control: For effective control of the fungus Trichophyton mentagrophytes, the surface must be completely wet with CRITICAL CARE[™] for 10 minutes. The surface may then be wiped dry with a clean towel. Re-apply when cleaning or when new growth appears.

*Viral Control: To kill Herpes Simplex Type 1 F(1) Strain, Influenza A Virus, Hong Kong strain, Rhinovirus R37 Strain 151-1, Polio Virus Type 2 Lansing Strain the surface must be completely wet with CRITICAL CARETM for 10 minutes. The surface may then be wiped with a clean towel.

Respiratory illnesses attributable to Pandemic 2009 H1N1 are caused by Influenza A virus. **CRITICAL CARE™** is a broad-spectrum hard surface disinfectant that has been shown to be effective against Influenza A Virus and Avian Influenza A virus and is expected to inactivate all Influenza A viruses including Pandemic 2009 H1N1, formerly called swine flu.

Kills HIV-1 on pre-cleaned environmental surfaces/objects previously soiled with blood/body fluids in health care settings (or other settings in which there is an expected likelihood of soiling of inanimate surfaces/objects with blood or body fluids, and in which the surfaces/objects likely to be soiled with blood or body fluids can be associated with the potential for transmission of HIV). Instructions for **Cleaning and Decontamination Against HIV** on pre-cleaned environmental surfaces/objects previously soiled with blood/body fluids: **Personal Protection:** When handling items soiled with blood or body fluids, use appropriate barrier protection such as latex gloves, gowns, masks or eye coverings. **Cleaning Procedure:** Blood and other body fluids must be thoroughly cleaned from surfaces and objects before application of this disinfectant. **Contact time:** Apply **CRITICAL CARE**TM to area to be treated. The surface must be completely wet with **CRITICAL CARE** for 30 seconds. The surface may then be wiped dry with a clean towel. This contact time will not control all organisms listed on this label. Refer to application instructions for other organisms. **Disposal of Infectious Materials:** Blood and other body fluids should be autoclaved and disposed of according to federal, state and local regulations for infectious waste disposal.

Critical Care [™] Disinfectant Information								
Organism	Kill Time	Organism	Kill Time					
*Pseudomonas aeruginosa	30 sec.	Campylobacter jejuni	2 min.					
Staphylococcus aureus	2 min.	Trichophyton mentragrophytes (Athlete's Foot Fungus)	10 min.					
*Salmonella enterica	30 sec.	** HIV type 1-Strain HTLV IIIB1	30 sec.					
Listeria monocytogenes	2 min.	*Herpes Simplex Type 1 VR-733 F(1) Strain ²	1 min.					
Vancomycin resistant Enterococcus faecium (VRE)	2 min.	*Rotavirus	3 min.					
Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA)	2 min.	*Human Coronavirus	3 min.					
Community Associated Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (CA-MRSA)	2 min.	*Norovirus	10 min.					
Community Associated Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (CA-MRSA-PVL)	2 min.	*Influenza A VR-544, Hong Kong Strain	10 min.					
Escherichia coli 0157:H7	2 min.	*Rhinovirus R37 VR-1147, Strain 151-1	10 min.					
Acinetobacter baumannii	2 min.	*Polio Type 2, VR-1002 Lansing Strain	10 min.					
Toxicology & Safety InformationSkin irritation = non irritantFlammability = non combustibleEye irritation = non irritantDot Classification = non regulatedAcute Oral Toxicity = >5000 mg/kgHazardous waste = noDermal Sensitization = not a sensitizerState of the sensitizer	-	 Organisms controlled with 30 second kill time. When used as directed Critical Care kills HIV type 1-Strain HT 1 VR-733 F(1) Strain, Influenza A VR-544, Hong Kong Strain, Rh 151-1, Polio Type 2, VR-1002 Lansing Strain, Rotavirus, Norovir Influenza A. When used as directed provides protection from <i>Pseudomona</i>. <i>aureus</i> and <i>Salmonella cholerasuis</i> up to 24 hrs after initial app 	LV IIIB, Herpes Simplex Type Inovirus R37 VR-1147, Stratuus, Human Coronavirus and Avian s aeruginosa, Staphylococcus Ilcation. 9-855-CR					





Use of carpet in Patient Care Areas

Johns Hopkins Medicine Epidemiology and Infection Programs

Consensus Statement: Carpet should be avoided in most clinical and patient care areas.

Johns Hopkins Medicine's Epidemiology and Infection Prevention Programs was asked to make a recommendation on the use of carpet in patient care areas.

Rationale:

Products and practices that reduce the potential for hospital acquired infection and promote patient safety are key elements in the operation and design of healthcare facilities. An Infection Control Risk Assessment (ICRA) is required as described in the Facility Guideline Institute's (FGI) Guidelines for the Design and Construction of Health Care Facilities (2010) when designing healthcare facilities. This assessment includes not only the interventions that will occur to mitigate the risk of infections during the construction but also the design aspects that might have long term impact on infection prevention (Bartley, 2010).

The FGI Guidelines state that "when selecting surfaces and furnishings, there is an expectation to ensure that surfaces meet necessary code requirements, while also looking for characteristics that support sustainability and infection prevention."

Floor finishes and environmental cleaning protocols are a part of the overall facility infection control risk reduction strategy, and associated guidelines. The choice of floor covering should promote a high level of cleanliness and hygiene within in the patient care environment. Floors are subject to staining, spills of body fluids, aggressive cleaning and a high volume of wheeled traffic.

Microbial count and survival count is higher in carpet compared to smooth impervious surfaces. After discussion with the group, a consensus was obtain by the Epidemiology and Infection Prevention Programs from the member organizations of Johns Hopkins Medicine and recommend that carpet should be avoided in most clinical and patient care areas.

Epidemiology and Infection Prevention 600 N. Wolfe Street, Billings Admin 327 Baltimore, MD 410-502-9617 T 410-502-7144 F





References information on carpet use in healthcare facilities:

- Anderson, R. L., Mackel, D. C., Stoler, B. S., & Mallison, G. F. (1982). Carpeting in hospitals: an epidemiological evaluation. Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 15(3), 408-415.
- Bartley, J. M., Olmsted, R. N., & Haas, J. (2010). Current views of health care design and construction: Practical implications for safer, cleaner environments. American Journal of Infection Control, 38(5):S1-12.
- Beyer, D. J., & Belsito, D. V. (2000). Fungal contamination of outpatient examination rooms: Is your office safe? Dermatol Nurs. 12(1), 51-53.
- Facility Guidelines Institute. (2010). Guidelines for the Design and Construction of Health Care Facilities. Retrieved from http://www.fgiguidelines.org/guidelines2010.php
- Gerson, S. L., Parker, P., Jacobs, M. R., Creger, R., & Lazarus, H. M. (1994). Aspergillosis due to carpet contamination. Infection control and hospital epidemiology, 15, 221-223.
- Harris, D.D., Pacheco, A., Lindner, A. S. (2010). Detecting potential pathogens on hospital surfaces: An assessment of carpet tile flooring in the hospital patient environment. Indoor and Built Environment, 19(2), 239-249.
- Lankford, M. G., Collins, S., Youngberg, L., Rooney, D. M., Warren, J. R., & Noskin, G. A. (2006). Assessment of materials commonly utilized in health care: Implications for bacterial survival and transmission. American Journal of Infection Control, 34(5), 258-263.
- Nanda, U., Achieving EBD Goals through Flooring Selection & Design. Retrieved from http://www.healthdesign.org/sites/default/files/chd_achieving_ebd_goals_through_flooring__design_fin al_0.pdf
- Occupational Safety & Health Administration (OSHA) (2003). Standard Interpretation Number 1910.22. Retrieved from http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show_document?
 p_id=24511&p_table=INTERPRETATIONS
- Sehulster, L. M., Chinn, R. Y. W., Arduino, M. J., Carpenter, J., Donlan, R., Ashford, D., et al. (2003). Guidelines for environmental infection control in healthcare facilities. Recommendations from CDC and the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee (HICPAC). CDC MMWR Recommendations and Reports, 52(RR10), 1-42.
- Skoutelis, A. T., Westenfelder, G. O., Beckerdite, M., & Phair, J. P. (1994). Hospital carpeting and epidemiology of Clostridium difficile. American Journal of Infection Control, 22(4), 212-217.

Epidemiology and Infection Prevention 600 N. Wolfe Street,



Billings Admin 327 Baltimore, MD 410-502-9617 T 410-502-7144 F

New Flooring Test

Facilities Architecture + Planning



JOHNS HOPKINS HEALTH SYSTEM

Presented by: Teri Lura Bennett RN CID IIDA CHID EDAC NIHD January 25, 2018





2

Established in 1889, Johns Hopkins is a leader in patient care, medical research and teaching. Specializing in every aspect of medical care. Johns Hopkins Medicine includes six academic and community hospitals, four suburban health care and surgery centers, more than 30 primary health care outpatient sites, as well as programs for national and international patient activities.

The Johns Hopkins Health System Corporation functions as a parent holding company of its wholly owned affiliates, including:

- The Johns Hopkins Hospital East Baltimore historic campus with 1,059 beds
- Johns Hopkins Bayview Medical Center with 545 beds
- Howard County General Hospital with 267 beds
- Suburban Hospital with 229 beds
- Sibley Memorial Hospital with 318 beds
- All Children's Hospital with 259 beds
- Johns Hopkins Community Physicians, Inc. multi-specialty physician organization with over 400 providers



The Johns Hopkins Hospital East Baltimore campus has greater than 9 Million SF of flooring

- This staggering and ever increasing figure doesn't include the other four JHHS hospital campuses and many JHHS non-hospital outpatient and office support sites.
- Under the direction of the Facility Planning Office, & JHH Engineering a systemwide multi-disciplinary research flooring testing study was conducted over a 90 Day test period.
- The test protocol and process was informed by the 2014 FGI Guidelines for Design and Construction of Hospitals and Outpatient Facilities, Surfaces and Materials Appendix, for performance criteria selection guidelines.



OBJECTIVES:

- Determine flooring selection protocol to fit diverse use applications, using the new 2014 FGI Guidelines Criteria for the selection of Surfaces and Furnishings.
- Create a collaborative multi-disciplinary JHH team to research and develop test protocols for flooring safety, performance and cleaning methodology.
- Implement those protocols in a coordinated comprehensive testing period, concluding in developing flooring standards for use at JHH.
- Learn how EBD surface material selection contributes to improved patient outcome and HCAHPS scores.



NEW FLOORING PRODUCTS SHOULD;

- Support the mission of JHHS by providing facilities and amenities that promote the highest quality care, afford solace, and enhance the community.
- Support Clinical Needs
- Comply with industry standards for patient safety
- Improve patient outcomes by reducing environmental factors which contribute to illness using non-toxic non-allergenic materials
- Meets required standards of safety and durability for planned life cycle
- Easily maintained by EVC staff in occupied healthcare facility according to CDC cleaning standards.
- Supported by manufacturers' warranty and designated representatives to



CHALLENGE: Reduce use of under-performing, environmentally harmful, expensive, difficult to maintain products.

INVESTIGATION: Carpet installed 2012 is puddling, seams opening, de-raveling, and showing permanent stains.



7

JOHNS HOPKINS



CHALLENGE: Establish new standard cleaning procedures and protocols to comply with Sustainable, Low-VOC, guidelines.

INVESTIGATE: Identified Low-VOC sustainable cleaning products and methodologies, not previously been used at JHH.

TEST: Using Envirox Cleaner & Sealer

- Daily Dust mop Damp mop
- Weekly Ride on Scrubber
- Monthly Machine scrub



EPA Reg. No. 72977-3-69268

- 30 second⁺ immediate disinfection
- 24-hour[§] residual bacteria kill
- Odorless-no harsh disinfectant smell
- Disinfectant-Fungicide-Virucide
- Reduced toxicity- HMIS Health = 0

- Ready-to-use
- · No sticky or dulling residue
- Kills MRSA in 2 minutes



CHALLENGE: Can we promote & support a No-Carpet flooring policy for all future projects.

INVESTIGATE: Determine non-carpet alternatives which are cleanable, maintainable, and acoustically viable.

TEST: Install multiple flooring product types for healthcare environment testing.





9

CHALLENGE: Building code requires all renovation projects incorporate Green/Sustainable material specifications. Manufacturers have responded with innovative new flooring products.

INVESTIGATE: Conduct our own test to determine if JHH can clean and maintain these new flooring products in our healthcare environment.





- CHALLENGE: Infection Control and Aging issues require elimination of high gloss surfaces. VCT requires use of high gloss wax finish. Wax is organic and capable of supporting microbial growth. Wax particles become airborne contaminating adjacent surfaces.
- INVESTIGATE: Can JHH clean & maintain No-Wax flooring products satisfactorily throughout JHHS campuses.







- TEST: Install Flooring products to be tested in heavy use location to assess JHH team ability to clean and maintain using new cleaning procedures and protocols.
- LOCATION: Corridor connecting JHH Main Campus and JHOPC, including Metro subway entrance to JHH East Baltimore campus. Estimated over 20,000 footfalls per day.

12



- TEST PROTOCOL:
- Provide for testing diverse new flooring product types; textile composite, rubber, rubber composite, vinyl rubber composite, heterogeneous and homogeneous resilient flooring products were tested.
- All were cleaned using same protocol for low sheen non-wax floor cleaning. Half of each product was sealed applied, half was not.
- Data collection at regular intervals throughout the testing period included direct observation, measurements, visual inspection, surveys and interviews.
- Documentation provided data with parameters clearly identified, using FGI for standard testing protocol.



• BENCHMARK DATES FOR 90-DAY TEST

- Nov. 22, 2014 Installation
- Nov. 24, 2014 Baseline First Evaluation Slip-resistance tested
- Jan. 3, 2015 EVC First Machine Scrubber Clean
- Jan. 20, 2015 Mid-Point Slip-resistance tested
- Jan. 8, 2015 Controlled Stain Applied
- Jan. 10, 2015 Controlled Stain Spot Clean
- Feb. 16, 2015 Final Evaluation week
- Feb. 20, 2015 Controlled Heavy Furniture Drag Test & Final Evaluation
- Feb. 21, 2015 Test Ends, All Flooring Products Removed. Underlayment assessed for leakage at product seams.



Nov. 22-23, 2014 Installation





15



Nov. 24, 2014 – Feb. 20, 2015: Weekly evaluations included photographs of each flooring product against a control sample.









SLIP-RESISTANCE TEST

- November 24, 2014
- Mid-Point
- February 20, 2015.
- Conducted by: JHH Health Safety & Environment Team (HES)
- Wet & Dry tests taken and recorded for each of 15 different flooring products, on both sealed & Unsealed portions of each Flooring product.

17





- ACOUSTICS EVALUATION
- November 24, 2014
- Mid-Point
- February 20, 2015.
 - Record dB level baseline and upon dropping noise producing tool. Record results for comparison against Manufacturer ratings.

18

January 25, 2018

JOHNS HOPKIN M E D I C I N E JOHNS HOPKINS HEALTH SYSTEM



CONTROLLED STAIN TEST

- Jan. 8, 2015 Stain applied.
 - Jan. 10, 2015 Not Clean on first evaluation. No special cleaning methods were used.
 - Feb. 2, 2015 Subsequent regular cleaning did remove the stain. **Success!**



19



•



BENCHMARK DATES FOR 90-DAY TEST

- Nov. 22, 2014 Installation
- Nov. 24, 2014 Baseline First Evaluation Slip-resistance tested
- Jan. 3, 2015 EVC First Machine Scrubber Clean
- Jan. 20, 2015 Mid-Point Slip-resistance tested
- Jan. 8, 2015 Controlled Stain Applied
- Jan. 10, 2015 Controlled Stain Spot Clean
- Feb. 16, 2015 Final Evaluation week
- Feb. 20, 2015 Controlled Heavy Furniture Drag Test & Final Evaluation
- Feb. 21, 2015 Test Ends, All Flooring Products Removed. Underlayment assessed for leakage at product seams.



SUMMARY FINDINGS:

 No Wax floors are a reality we can all live with. Specifying No-Wax matte shine flooring products is the new JHHS reality.

SHINY IS DIRTY, MATTE IS WHERE ITS AT!

• Acoustical needs require a whole environment solution. Non-carpet flooring options can effectively contribute to those solutions.



CONTINUING EFFORTS:

- Identify the most successful flooring product types for use at JHHS.
- Beta test the best of these flooring product types in all projects requiring new flooring; Waiting, Office, Clinical.
- Continual Ongoing Evaluation and Assessment.
- Expand efforts to include testing of wall protection and upholstery materials.
- Prepare Flooring Test Report to share findings!

Thank you !



22

Bibliography

- A Visual Reference for Evidence-Based Design. Jain Malkin © 2008.
- Design Details for Health, Making the Most of Design's Healing Potential. Cynthia A. Leibrock & Debra Harris. © 2011.
- Facility Guidelines Institute. Guidelines for Design and Construction of Hospitals and Outpatient Facilities. Chicago: American Society for Healthcare Engineering, 2014.
- Health Facilities Management; October 2014, Volume 27, Issue 10, Article: Supporting Evidence: Researched solutions for health care interiors. Author: Amy Eagle. © 2014.



23